

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,035	01/22/2001	Ronald J. Lebel	USP-1076-B	2696
7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER	
TED R. RITTMASTER			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
FOLEY & LARDNER 2029 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 3500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES,, CA 90067-3021			3742	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		we				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/768,035	LEBEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel I. Robinson	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 (</u>	October 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processes and the company of the foreign language processes are ference was included in the first sentence of the company of the company of the company of the foreign language processes are ference was included in the first sentence of the company of the co	Its have been received. Its have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (Instrumentation of the specification of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. d and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal f	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/768,035

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duffin et al.(U.S.Pat.5,752,976) in view of Pollack(U.S.Pat.4,854,328). Duffin discloses a world wide patient location and data telemetry system for implantable medical devices that shows many of the feature of the claimed invention but fails to explicitly show an identifier associated with a message, a general interrogation signal or an electrode to stimulate a portion of a body. Pollack discloses an animal monitoring telltale and information system that shows a genral interrogation signal, an identifier, and an electrode to stimulate the body. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to incorporate these features into the device of Duffin because the general interrogation signal can locate a lost body, a stimulating electrode can cause a visual reply and the identifier provides discrete communications.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Duffin reference as applied to claims 1-4, 9, and 16 above, and further in view of Schhulman et al.(U.S.Pat.6,208,894). The modified Duffin reference does not show a redundancy code. Schulman discloses a system of implantable devices for monitoring and/or affecting doby

Application/Control Number: 09/768,035 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

parameters that shows using a redundancy code. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a redundancy code as taught by Schulman because the redundancy results in greater confidence in received data.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Duffin reference as applied to claims 1-4, 9, and 16 above, and further in view of Fletcher (U.S.Pat.3,910,257)The modified Duffin reference does not explicitly show a synchronous system. Duffin discloses a world wide patient location and data telemetry system for implantable medical devices such as infusion pumps that shows all the features of the claimed invention save explicitly synchronizing the times. Fletcher discloses a medical subject monitoring system that explicitly shows a synchronized system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to synchronize the elements of the remote system so corrective action can occur at an optimum time.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Duffin reference as applied to claims 1-4, 9, and 16 above, and further in view of Mann et al.(U.S.Pat.6,554,798). The modified Duffin reference does not explicitly show an infusion pump for dispensing insulin used with a glucose sensor. Mann discloses an infusion device with remote programming, bolus estimator and/or vibration alarm that shows infusing insulin and a glucose detector. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an infusion pump with a glucose detector as taught by Mann because the infusion can infuse insulin into a body based on a detected glucose level.

Page 4

DANIEL ROBINSON

Application/Control Number: 09/768,035

Art Unit: 3742

Claims 5-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schulman, Besson, Bowers and Reuss are cited to show structure and methods similar to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Robinson whose telephone number is 703 306-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0861.
